

Derivations of Gibbs measures for the focusing 1D nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Kick-off Meeting

Andrew Rout (joint with Vedran Sohinger)

University of Warwick

August 31, 2023

Outline of Talk

- Introduce and motivate Gibbs measures for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)
- Discuss the construction of Gibbs measures for a certain nonlocal quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- Define an analogue of Gibbs measures for many-body quantum mechanics
- Describe the convergence of these analogues as the number of particles goes to infinity

Hartree Equation

- Define: For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we say $u \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ if

$$(1 + |k|^2)^{s/2} \hat{u}(k) \in \ell^2,$$

$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^2)^s |\hat{u}(k)|^2$. Say u has **Sobolev regularity** s .

- We will consider the following form of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \varphi = (-\Delta + \kappa)\varphi + N^{(i)}(\varphi) \\ \varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}), \end{cases}$$

where we take $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ and

- $N^{(2)}(\varphi) := \int dy w(x - y) |\varphi(y)|^2 \varphi(x) = (w * |\varphi|^2) \varphi(x)$
- $N^{(3)}(\varphi) := \int dy dz w(x - y) w(y - z) w(z - x) |\varphi(y)|^2 |\varphi(z)|^2 \varphi(x) \neq (w * |\varphi|^2)^2 \varphi(x)$

- $w : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **interaction potential** and $\kappa > 0$ a **chemical potential**
- This regime is called the **Hartree equation**
- If there are positivity assumptions on w , this called the **defocusing** problem. Otherwise we call it the **focusing** problem

- We have two **conserved** quantities associated with the Hartree equation:

$$M(\varphi) := \int dx |\varphi(x)|^2,$$

$$H(\varphi) := \underbrace{\int dx |\nabla \varphi(x)|^2 + \kappa |\varphi(x)|^2}_{H_0} + \mathcal{W}^{(i)},$$

where

- ▶ $\mathcal{W}^{(2)} := \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy |\varphi(x)|^2 w(x-y) |\varphi(y)|^2$
- ▶ $\mathcal{W}^{(3)} := \frac{1}{3} \int dx dy dz w(x-y) w(y-z) w(z-y) |\varphi(y)|^2 |\varphi(z)|^2 |\varphi(x)|^2 |\varphi(y)|^2$
- Idea: We use these conservation quantities to prove well-posedness
- At **low regularity**, we need a “substitute for conservation laws”

Gibbs measures

We can define the **Gibbs measure** associated to the NLS

$$d\mathbb{P}_{Gibbs} := \frac{1}{z} e^{-H(\varphi)} d\varphi,$$

which is supported on the space of initial conditions of the NLS. Here

- z is the **partition function**
- H is the **Hamiltonian**
- $d\varphi$ is the (formal) **infinite dimensional Lebesgue measure**

These measures were first studied in CQFT literature (summaries: Nelson ('73), Glimm-Jaffe ('81), Simon ('74)). To make the construction rigorous for a positive L^1 interaction potential w , one realises the Gibbs measure as a weighted **Wiener measure**

$$d\mathbb{P}_{Gibbs} = \frac{1}{z} e^{-\mathcal{W}} d\mu.$$

Gibbs Measures II

- Define the **flow map** S_t of the Hartree equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\varphi = (-\Delta + \kappa)\varphi + N^{(i)}(\varphi) \\ \varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}), \end{cases}$$

by $S_t\varphi_0(x) := \varphi(x, t)$

- A measure is **invariant under the flow** if $d\nu(A) = d\nu(S_{-t}A)$, where A is a measurable subset of the space of initial conditions

Theorem (Liouville's theorem)

For a **finite** dimensional Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j} \\ \dot{q}_j = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \end{cases}$$

and a non-negative smooth function g , $g(H)d\text{Leb}$ is invariant under the flow.

Gibbs Measures III

- We want to see what functions lie in this measures support
- Note $\int |\nabla \varphi|^2 = c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k|^2 |a_k|^2$, where $a_k := \hat{\varphi}(k)$
- Heuristically, for $\kappa = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu &\sim e^{-c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k|^2 |a_k|^2} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} da_k \\ &= \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-c |k|^2 |a_k|^2} da_k \end{aligned}$$

- $|k| \hat{\varphi}(k)$ has a Gaussian distribution – gives rise to a **random Fourier series**
- Repeating for $\kappa \neq 0$, a function in the support of μ is given by

$$\varphi^\omega(x) \equiv \varphi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{g_k(\omega)}{\sqrt{|k|^2 + \kappa}} e^{2\pi i k x},$$

where g_k are **i.i.d. centred complex Gaussians**.

Gibbs Measures IV

- We want to know the **regularity** of functions in the support of μ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\mu [\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^2)^s \frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2}{|k|^2 + \kappa} \right] \\ &\sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^2)^{s-1}\end{aligned}$$

- Finite if $2s - 2 < -1$, i.e. $s < 1/2$.
- A function in the support of μ is in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover $\mu(H^s) = 1$ for any $s < 1/2$ and $\mu(H^s) = 0$ for any $s \geq 1/2$
- So for positive $w \in L^\infty$

$$\mathcal{W} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^4$$

so $\mathcal{W} \in [0, \infty)$ almost surely

Focusing potentials

- Bourgain ('94) and Zhidkov ('91) showed that the Gibbs measure is **invariant** under the flow of the NLS and Bourgain showed that the NLS is **almost surely globally well posed** for functions in the support of the Gibbs measure.
- Bourgain also showed that in the case of a **non-positive** interaction potential, one requires a **truncation** in the mass of the problem.
- Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and define

$$d\mathbb{P}_{Gibbs}^f := \frac{1}{zf} e^{-\mathcal{W}} f(\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2) d\mu$$

- In what follows, whenever we consider a Gibbs measure, we consider the **truncated measure**, and we drop the f dependence from our notation.
- This measure is **absolutely continuous** with respect to the Wiener measure for **local nonlocal Schrödinger equation**, so has the same support. Bourgain ('94) also showed that this measure is **invariant** and the NLS is globally well posed for any function in its support.
- This extends trivially to the nonlocal case for only the **cubic NLS**, and we have to work harder for the **quintic Hartree equation**.

Quintic Gibbs measure

$$N^{(3)}(\varphi) := \int dy dz w(x-y)w(y-z)w(z-x)|\varphi(y)|^2|\varphi(z)|^2\varphi(x)$$

Theorem (R-Sohinger '23)

Consider the Hartree equation with nonlinearity given by $N^{(3)}$ and $w \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$. The following claims hold.

- (i) *For $B > 0$ sufficiently small and φ given by the random Fourier series above, we have*

$$e^{-\frac{1}{3} \int dx dy dz w(x-y) w(y-z) w(x-z) |\varphi(x)|^2 |\varphi(y)|^2 |\varphi(z)|^2} \chi_{(M \leq B)} \in L^1(d\mu).$$

In particular, for f with $\text{supp}(f) \subset [-B, B]$, we get that the Gibbs measure is a well-defined probability measure.

- (ii) *Consider $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. The measure $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Gibbs}}$ is invariant under the flow of quintic Hartree equation. Furthermore, the quintic Hartree equation admits global solutions for $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Gibbs}}$ -almost every $u_0 \in H^s(\Lambda)$.*

Ideas of proof

- We show local well-posedness for $w \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ – uses $X^{s,b}$ spaces and multilinear estimates (Fourier analysis)
- We use Sobolev embedding to notice

$$\left| N^{(3)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^3 \|\varphi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{3}}}^6$$

- We argue analogously to Bourgain ('94) to get normalisability
- Following Bourgain, we use a Galerkin approximation to obtain invariance of the measure.

Many-body quantum mechanics

- We restrict ourselves to the **two-body** case
- We define $L_s^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ to as the symmetric subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$. I.e.

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_N) = u(x_{\pi 1}, \dots, x_{\pi N})$$

for any permutation $\pi \in S_N$.

- This framework corresponds to studying **bosons**.
- We consider the *N -body Hamiltonian* on $L_s^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$

$$H_N := \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j + \kappa) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j}^N w(x_i - x_j)$$

Connecting Hartree and many-body problems

- We can view the Hartree equation as the **large particle limit** of

$$i\partial_t \Psi_N = H_N \Psi_N$$

- If the initial condition is “close” to being factorised: $\Psi_N(x, 0) \sim \varphi_0^{\otimes n}$, then

$$\Psi_N(x, t) \sim \varphi^{\otimes n}(x, t),$$

where φ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \varphi = (-\Delta + \kappa)\varphi + (w * |\varphi|^2)(x)\varphi(x) \\ \varphi_0. \end{cases}$$

- This is made rigorous using **reduced density matrix** – Hepp ('74), Ginibre-Velo ('79), Spohn ('80).

Gibbs measures in many-body quantum mechanics

- **Question:** What do Gibbs measures correspond to in many body quantum mechanics?
- **Idea 1:** Fix the number of particles – **canonical ensemble**
- Consider operator $P_\tau^{(N)} := \frac{1}{Z_N} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} H_N}$
- Expanding spectrally:

$$P_\tau^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\lambda_{N,k}/\tau}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\lambda_{N,k}/\tau} u_k u_k^*$$

- Taking $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, we get a **uniform distribution** onto the spectral projections
- Taking $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we get a δ measure on the **ground state projection**
- To get a non-trivial limit, we need to vary the number of particles – **grand canonical ensemble**. We also need to vary temperature with the number of particles $\tau = N$.

Grand canonical ensemble

- We work with the *bosonic Fock space*

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} L_s^2(\mathbb{T}^p)$$

- For $g \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, we consider the *creation and annihilation* operators

$$(b^*(g)\Psi)^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{i=1}^p g(x_i) \Psi^{(p-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p),$$

$$(b(g)\Psi)^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \sqrt{p+1} \int dx \overline{g(x)} \Psi^{(p+1)}(x, x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

- These are operator-valued distributions – “test” against a function to get an operator
- These satisfy the **canonical commutation relations**

$$[b(g_1), b^*(g_2)] = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad [b(g_1), b(g_2)] = [b^*(g_1), b^*(g_2)] = 0,$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

- We consider the *rescaled creation and annihilation operators*

$$\varphi_{\tau}^*(g) := \tau^{-1/2} b^*(f) \text{ and } \varphi_{\tau}(g) := \tau^{-1/2} b(f).$$

Grand Canonical ensemble II

- For a closed linear operator ξ on $L_s^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$, we identify it with its Schwartz kernel, $\xi(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_p)$.
- We define the **lift** of an operator ξ to Fock space as

$$\Theta_\tau(\xi) := \int dx_1 \dots dx_p dy_1 \dots dy_p \xi(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_p) \varphi_\tau^*(x_1) \dots \varphi_\tau^*(x_p) \varphi_\tau(y_1) \dots \varphi_\tau(y_p),$$

where $\varphi_\tau^*(x) := \varphi_\tau^*(\delta_x)$ is the **distribution kernel** of φ_τ^* .

- We can interpret φ_τ^* and φ_τ as the **quantum analogues** of $\bar{\varphi}$ and φ . In particular, the canonical commutation relations imply

$$[\varphi_\tau(x), \varphi_\tau^*(y)] = \frac{1}{\tau} \delta(x - y)$$

- For $h = -\Delta + \kappa$ and $W^{(2)}$ the two particle operator which acts by multiplication by $w(x_1 - x_2)$, define

$$H_{\tau,0} := \Theta_\tau(h), \quad \mathcal{W}_\tau^{(2)} := \frac{1}{2} \Theta_\tau(W^{(2)}).$$

Quantum State

- The **interacting quantum Hamiltonian** is defined as

$$H_\tau := H_{\tau,0} + \mathcal{W}_\tau,$$

and the **rescaled particle number** as

$$\mathcal{N}_\tau := \int dx \, \varphi_\tau^*(x) \varphi_\tau(x).$$

- We define the **grand canonical ensemble** as $P_\tau := e^{-H_\tau}$.
- For $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, the **quantum state** $\rho_\tau^f(\cdot) \equiv \rho_\tau(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$\rho_\tau(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A} P_\tau f(\mathcal{N}_\tau))}{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(P_\tau f(\mathcal{N}_\tau))}.$$

- We consider

$$Z_\tau := \text{Tr}(e^{-H_\tau} f(\mathcal{N}_\tau)), \quad Z_{\tau,0} := \text{Tr}(e^{-H_{\tau,0}}), \quad \mathcal{Z}_\tau := \frac{Z_\tau}{Z_{\tau,0}},$$

the quantum, free quantum, and relative quantum partition functions.

Classical State

- In analogy to the quantum case, for a bounded operator on \mathfrak{h} , we define the random variable

$$\Theta(\xi) := \int dx_1 \dots dx_p dy_1 \dots dy_p \xi(x_1, \dots, x_p; y_1, \dots, y_p) \\ \times \overline{\varphi}(x_1) \dots \overline{\varphi}(x_p) \varphi(y_1) \dots \varphi(y_p),$$

and

$$H := \underbrace{\Theta(h)}_{H_0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\Theta(W)}_{\mathcal{W}^{(2)}}$$

- We define the **classical state**, $\rho = \rho^f$ of a random variable X as

$$\rho(X) = \frac{\int d\mu X e^{-\mathcal{W}^{(2)}} f(\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2)}{\int d\mu e^{-\mathcal{W}^{(2)}} f(\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2)}.$$

- We consider the **classical partition function**

$$z := \int d\mu e^{-\mathcal{W}^{(2)}} f(\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Correlation functions

- Both the classical and quantum states can be characterised through their **correlation functions**, which have kernels defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_p(x_1, \dots, x_p; y_1, \dots, y_p) &:= \rho(\bar{\varphi}(y_1) \dots \bar{\varphi}(y_p) \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_p)), \\ \gamma_{\tau,p}(x_1, \dots, x_p; y_1, \dots, y_p) &:= \rho_{\tau}(\varphi_{\tau}^*(y_1) \dots \varphi_{\tau}^*(y_p) \varphi_{\tau}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{\tau}(x_p)).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (R-Sohinger '23)

Let $w \in L^{\infty}$ be real valued and even. Given $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^p)} |\gamma_{\tau,p} - \gamma_p| = 0.$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{\tau} = z.$$

- We also prove similar results for $w \in L^1$ and $w = -\delta$

Quintic Result

- We take

$$\mathcal{W}_\tau^{(3)} := \frac{1}{3} \Theta_\tau(W^{(3)}),$$

where $W^{(3)}$ acts as multiplication by $w(x_1 - x_2)w(x_2 - x_3)w(x_3 - x_1)$.

$$H_\tau^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n -\Delta_j + \frac{1}{3\tau^2} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i}^n w(x_1 - x_2)w(x_2 - x_3)w(x_3 - x_1)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{(3)} := \frac{1}{3} \Theta(W^{(3)}).$$

Theorem (R-Sohinger '22)

Let $w \in L^\infty$ be real valued and even. Given $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^p)} |\gamma_{\tau,p} - \gamma_p| = 0.$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_\tau = z.$$

- **Key Difference:** We can only extend our results to $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ potentials

Relation to Previous Derivations of Gibbs Measures

- The problem has been well studied in the case of a **positive** interaction potential
- ❶ **Lewin-Nam-Rougerie ('15)**: 1D results using variational method. Non-translation invariant interaction for $d = 2, 3$.
- ❷ **Fröhlich-Knowles-Schlein-Sohinger ('17)** Bounded potentials in $d = 2, 3$ with modified Gibbs state. New proof of $d = 1$.
- ❸ **LNR ('18)**: 1D non-periodic subharmonic trapping potential.
- ❹ **LNR ('18)**: 2D smooth interaction without modified Gibbs state.
- ❺ **FKSS ('18)**: Time dependent problem for 1D.
- ❻ **Sohinger ('19)**: Results of FKSS ('17) extended to optimal $w \in L^q$.
- ❼ **FKSS ('20)**: Results of FKSS ('17) for $d = 2, 3$ without modified Gibbs state.
- ❽ **LNR ('20)**: Extension to $d = 3$.
- ❾ **FKSS ('22)**: ϕ_2^4 Euclidean field theory for potential with contracting range.
- Ours is the first known result for an interaction potential **without positivity assumption** in the two-body case. It is the first known result in the **three-body** case.

Results for three-body interactions

- **T.Chen-Pavlovic ('10)**: Shows quintic NLS is an effective equation for a Gross-Pitaevskii range potential. **Xie ('10)**: Similar results for p-body interactions .
- **X.Chen ('12)** Shows a nonlocal NLS is an effective equation for a different three-body interaction.
- **Lee ('20)** Rate of convergence for a particular three-body interaction similar to X.Chen.
- BEC studied by **Nam-Ricaud-Triay '22,'22**

Bounded potential proof

- $\rho_\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tau,1}(\mathcal{A})}{\tilde{\rho}_{\tau,1}(1)}$, where $\tilde{\rho}_{\tau,z}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}e^{-H_{\tau,0}+z\mathcal{W}_\tau})}{Z_{\tau,0}}$.
- We expand $\rho_\tau(\Theta(\xi))$ and $\rho(\Theta(\xi))$ as power series using a **Duhamel expansion**: $e^{X+\zeta Y} = e^X + \zeta \int_0^1 dt e^{(1-t)X} Y e^{t(X+\zeta Y)}$

$$A_\tau^\xi(\zeta) := \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a_{\tau,m}^\xi \zeta^m + R_{\tau,M}^\xi(\zeta), \quad A^\xi(\zeta) := \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a_m^\xi \zeta^m + R_M^\xi(\zeta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\tau,m}^\xi &:= \frac{(-1)^m}{Z_{\tau,0}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} dt_m \Theta_\tau(\xi) e^{-(1-t_1)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_\tau \right. \\ &\quad \times e^{-(t_1-t_2)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_\tau e^{-(t_2-t_3)H_{\tau,0}} \dots e^{-(t_{m-1}-t_m)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_\tau e^{-t_m H_{\tau,0}} f(\mathcal{N}_\tau) \Big), \\ R_{\tau,M}^\xi(\zeta) &:= \frac{(-\zeta)^M}{Z_{\tau,0}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{M-1}} dt_M \Theta_\tau(\xi) e^{-(1-t_1)H_{\tau,0}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{W}_\tau e^{-(t_1-t_2)H_{\tau,0}} \dots e^{-(t_{M-1}-t_M)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_\tau e^{-t_M(H_{\tau,0}+\zeta\mathcal{W}_\tau)} f(\mathcal{N}_\tau) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Bounded potential proof II

- Need to prove
 - ① The explicit terms satisfy sufficient **bounds**
 - ② We can **rewrite** the remainder terms using the explicit terms
 - ③ We get **convergence** of the quantum explicit terms to the classical ones

Bounds on the explicit terms

$$a_{\tau,m}^{\xi} := \frac{(-1)^m}{Z_{\tau,0}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} dt_m \Theta_{\tau}(\xi) e^{-(1-t_1)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} \right. \\ \left. \times e^{-(t_1-t_2)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-(t_2-t_3)H_{\tau,0}} \dots e^{-(t_{m-1}-t_m)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-t_m H_{\tau,0}} f(\mathcal{N}_{\tau}) \right).$$

- Notice: \mathcal{W}_{τ} is not in the exponential
- Notice: Sum of the times in the exponential is 1
- Both $\Theta_{\tau}(\xi)$ and \mathcal{W}_{τ} grow like \mathcal{N}_{τ}
- Idea: Apply Hölder's inequality. Sum of exponents is 1, giving supremum norms on the $\Theta_{\tau}(\xi)$ and \mathcal{W}_{τ} terms and a $\text{Tr}(e^{-H_{\tau,0}}) =: Z_{\tau,0}$
- $\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} dt_m = \frac{1}{m!}$

Remainder term

$$R_{\tau,M}^{\xi}(\zeta) := \frac{(-\zeta)^M}{Z_{\tau,0}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{M-1}} dt_M \Theta_{\tau}(\xi) e^{-(1-t_1)H_{\tau,0}} \right. \\ \left. \times \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-(t_1-t_2)H_{\tau,0}} \dots e^{-(t_{M-1}-t_M)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-t_M(H_{\tau,0}+\zeta\mathcal{W}_{\tau})} f(\mathcal{N}_{\tau}) \right).$$

- Feynman-Kac: $e^{t(\Delta-V)}(x; \tilde{x}) = \int \mathbb{W}_{x,\tilde{x}}^t(d\omega) e^{-\int_0^t ds V(\omega(s))}$
- We use the **Feynman-Kac formula** to rewrite remainder term using the explicit term
- Feynman-Kac gives us a formula for $e^{-t_M(H_{\tau,0}+\zeta\mathcal{W}_{\tau})}$
- We thus get analytic power series for ρ_{τ} and ρ

- Key to getting convergence is proving bounds on the **untruncated explicit terms**

$$a_{\tau,m}^{\xi} := \frac{(-1)^m}{Z_{\tau,0}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} dt_m \Theta_{\tau}(\xi) e^{-(1-t_1)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} \right. \\ \left. \times e^{-(t_1-t_2)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-(t_2-t_3)H_{\tau,0}} \dots e^{-(t_{m-1}-t_m)H_{\tau,0}} \mathcal{W}_{\tau} e^{-t_m H_{\tau,0}} \right).$$

- By rearranging, we need to estimate a something of the form of

$$\frac{1}{Z_{\tau,0}} \int dx_1 \dots dx_{m+p} dy_1 \dots dy_{m+p} \text{Tr} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (\varphi_{\tau}^*(x_i) \varphi_{\tau}^*(y_i) \varphi_{\tau}(x_i) \varphi_{\tau}(y_i)) \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\tau}^*(x_{m+i}) \prod_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\tau}(y_{m+i}) e^{-H_{\tau,0}} \right)$$

- **Idea:** φ_{τ}^* and φ_{τ} heuristically act like $\bar{\varphi}$ and φ respectively, and the **trace** is heuristically like an expectation \rightarrow **Wick's theorem**

Wick's theorem

Theorem (Classical Wick's theorem)

Given suitable g , we let $\varphi(g) := \langle g, \varphi \rangle$ and $\overline{\varphi}(g) := \langle \varphi, g \rangle$. Furthermore, we let $(\varphi)^*(g)$ denote either $\varphi(g)$ or $\overline{\varphi}(g)$. Then, given $N \geq 0$ and $g_1, \dots, g_N \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^N (\varphi(g_i))^{*i} \right] = \sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)} \prod_{(i,j) \in \Pi} \mathbb{E}_\mu [(\varphi(g_i))^{*i} (\varphi(g_j))^{*j}],$$

where the sum is taken over all complete pairings of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and where edges of Π are denoted by (i, j) with $i < j$.

- Since φ has a random Fourier series consisting only of **Gaussians**, we only recover the “diagonal” terms in the product.

Wick's theorem in the quantum setting

- A quantum analogue of Wick's theorem allows us to look at pairings of the following **graphs**

Time Dependent Problem

- We introduce time evolution

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^t \Theta(\xi) &:= \int dx_1 \dots dx_p dy_1 \dots dy_p \xi(x_1, \dots, x_p; y_1, \dots, y_p) \\ &\quad \times \overline{S_t \varphi}(x_1) \dots \overline{S_t \varphi}(x_p) S_t \varphi(y_1) \dots S_t \varphi(y_p), \\ \Psi_\tau^t \mathcal{A} &:= e^{it\tau H_\tau} \mathcal{A} e^{-it\tau H_\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (R-Sohinger '22,'23)

Let w be bounded and even. Let $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{h}^{(p_1)}), \dots, \xi_m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{h}^{(p_m)})$, and $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \rho_\tau^\varepsilon(\Psi_\tau^{t_1} \Theta_\tau(\xi_1) \dots \Psi_\tau^{t_m} \Theta_\tau(\xi_m)) = \rho(\Psi^{t_1} \Theta(\xi_1) \dots \Psi^{t_m} \Theta(\xi_m)).$$

- Use **Schwinger-Dyson expansion** in bounded case. Expand to unbounded using a **diagonal argument**